

---

**From:** Henning, Alan  
**To:** Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy  
**Sent:** 5/30/2014 5:59:38 PM  
**Subject:** FW: OFIC CZARA Comment  
**Attachments:** Mica\_Memo\_May30\_2014.pdf; OFIC\_PL\_Notes.pdf

---

**From:** Leinenbach, Peter  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2014 11:00 AM  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan  
**Subject:** OFIC CZARA Comment

Alan and Jenny –

Attached are my initial responses to OFIC comments on the Riparian Areas (Pages 7 through 14). Just want to point out that Josh Seeds has already addressed many of my comments in his comment letters from the May 15, 2014 email to EPA from Josh. But I added some additional comments to my review. The bottom line is that there really is not much there in the comments. They basically rely on two projects: 1) Mica, and 2) Hinckley Creek. Close reading of these articles would lead one to conclude very different conclusions from what OFIC gets from them (i.e., temperature effects do not transport downstream). I have also included my detailed review of the Mica study – I have seen this document being used before and I had not reviewed it yet, so I sucked it up and read it. (FYI Leigh – Dale M. worked on this and Terry C. appeared to also help out). The bottom line is that there are problems with OFICs conclusions.

**Peter Leinenbach**

Aquatic and Landscape Ecologist  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 10  
Office of Environmental Assessment